

255/87 255/88

Easterling, Deborah

From:

Sent: Friday, February 20, 2015 10:55 AM

To: Alvarez, Colanthia; Easterling, Deborah

Bovd, Jocelyn

Subject: Fwd: Proposed procedural schedule for DEC and DEP DER Applications - Docket Nos.

2015-53-E and 2015-55-E

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Castle, Alex" < Alex. Castle@duke-energy.com >

Date: February 20, 2015 at 10:47:13 AM EST

 $\textbf{To: "Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov}) "< \underline{Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov}, "Joseph.Melchers "Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov">, "Joseph.Melchers" "Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov">, "Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov">, "Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov">, "Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov">, "Jocelyn.Bo$

(Joseph.Melchers@psc.sc.gov)" < Joseph.Melchers@psc.sc.gov>

Cc: fellerbe -robinsonlaw < fellerbe@robinsonlaw.com >, "Shannon Hudson (shudson@regstaff.sc.gov)"

- <shudson@regstaff.sc.gov>, "Bateman, Andrew (abateman@regstaff.sc.gov)"
- <abateman@regstaff.sc.gov>, "'Mike Lavanga' (Mike.Lavanga@bbrslaw.com)"
- $<\!\!\underline{Mike.Lavanga@bbrslaw.com}\!\!>, "Blan Holman (\underline{bholman@selcsc.org})" <\!\!\underline{bholman@selcsc.org}\!\!>, "Thad$

- $"\underline{dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com}" < \underline{dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com} >, "\underline{bonnie@thepalladiangroup.com}" > \underline{dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com} > \underline{dwilliamson@spilman$
- < bonnie@thepalladiangroup.com >, "bguild@mindspring.com" < bguild@mindspring.com >,
- "rlwhitt@austinrogerspa.com" <rlwhitt@austinrogerspa.com>, "Smith, Heather Shirley"

<Heather.Smith@duke-energy.com>

Subject: Proposed procedural schedule for DEC and DEP DER Applications - Docket Nos. 2015-53-E and 2015-55-E

Jocelyn and Joseph:

Set forth below for your consideration, please find a proposed procedural schedule for both the Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Carolinas Distributed Energy Resource Application proceedings, currently docketed in 2015-53-E and 2015-55-E, respectively. Given the commonality in substance and form between the two applications, our proposal incorporates common filing deadlines and a common hearing date for both the DEC and DEP dockets, with one hearing taking place immediately after the conclusion of the other (DEC and DEP will retain specific dockets and testimony would need to be filed in each, as each docket would have its own record). Our proposed dates for the common testimony filings and hearing dates are set forth below:

- Direct Tuesday, March 17
- Intervenor Tuesday, April 7
- Rebuttal Tuesday, April 14
- Surrebuttal Tuesday, April 21
- Hearing Tuesday, April 28 (currently open on PSC calendar) DEP to begin first with the DEC hearing beginning after the conclusion of the DEP case
- Proposed Orders/Briefs Tuesday, May 19

We have communicated this proposed schedule to ORS and the other likely intervenors copied on this communication, and they have not communicated any objections to the approach set forth above. Thank you for the Commission's consideration of this proposed procedural schedule and please let me know if you have any questions.

Alex Castle
Associate General Counsel
Office of the General Counsel
Duke Energy
550 South Tryon St./DEC45A
Charlotte, NC 28202
704.382.4499 (o)
704.604.5113 (m)
alex.castle@duke-energy.com